Turning Mobile Learning into Opportunities for Teachers and Students

*Rachna Thakkar[1]

[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Management, Capital University, Koderma, Jharkhand, INDIA*

* Corr. Author   Email – thakkar.rachna86.com

Abstract

There is no doubt that mobile learning will form learning technology deserts in every country in the world. Looking back at the past long stretches of multifaceted learning, we can see how growing evidence and mobile experiences are guiding the blueprint for other leading learning technologies, bringing learning to individuals and communities—communities that were previously too remote or too expensive. . to equal or improve, advance and test the origins of learning itself. This was done in an unmistakably global environment, with the aim of progressively gaining notoriety and recognition worldwide. However, it was not entirely innocuous as a vehicle of clear intentions. This article examines the past decade and then envisions a future in which thinking in terms of learning technology becomes increasingly dangerous as technology, especially general-purpose technology, becomes an inescapable, ubiquitous, ubiquitous feature. and defining that is underestimated and not worth it. to focus on it. Technology and wearable technology have already entered the world in many ways, both incrementally and indiscriminately, but the world is rapidly evolving into one that would be incomprehensible without technology, particularly wearable technology. The increasing availability of low-cost remote and portable devices and associated infrastructure presents both opportunities and challenges for students, faculty, and foundations. With the survey "What is feasible in mobile learning?" This article attempts to contribute to the discussion. Also, ask yourself, "Why is it important to track these potential outcomes? As the deadline for developing overarching principles and realistic dreams for mobile learning approaches, a key issue is identified that goes beyond explicit statements and branded technologies to examine global patterns. future efforts and thoughts in the field of information technology.

Keywords: Learning, Mobile, Opportunities, Students, Teachers

INTRODUCTION

Concerns about adaptability and systems management are two of the most important emerging issues affecting current educational practice. Students and teachers are constantly connected in extended relationships with others on the Internet, exchanging information and sharing information and talents with each other and with others in their schools. However, in the mobile learning region, the focus has been on multifaceted technologies and content sharing, while the ability to support local build cycles and coordinated efforts through their blending within informal communities has generally been underestimated.

After the introduction of mobile learning, technological advances and subsequent developments made significant progress in teaching and research possible. Portable technologies have

the potential to misuse relevant learning and have freed students and technology from the confines of traditional classrooms while enhancing cooperative cycles in informal settings. It is true that the rise of multifunctional devices has democratized access to technology, has changed the concept of customer-generated content and has allowed students and technicians to explore different paths related to technology outside the classroom, but it has also posed challenges for educational partners. about the most effective way to reconcile the idea of their education for life and learning with the qualities that teachers may want to develop in students.

How mobile devices can support education

M-learning allows learning to be extended with the ultimate goal of integrating it into a person's work or personal activities, when and where they are needed. People who are short on time need small, portable learning objects suitable for low-transmission environments and these devices should be suitable for a wide range of learners of different ages and backgrounds. The use of mobile phones opens the door to new types of learning exercises and can be a useful tool for quickly creating illustrations when travelling on vacation. Mobile phones have functions in three important areas, as shown in the diagram below, which are further defined. The main area is the notification system, which has the ability to send an SMS or an email immediately. This system not only serves as a lighting and reminder technique for the learner, but also conveys information about the subsequent learning movement. A text message was the primary method of notifying a person living in a remote location that course materials were ready to be picked up and delivered to the broadcast station. As a result, this basic alert system has allowed people to stay informed about distance learning activities, which have proven to be beneficial and life-saving for people in remote areas. Second, people with adaptive talents may be eligible for admission to a learning management system. Note that some cell phones may support message input, allowing a stuntman to log in and complete class registration, as well as browse an inventory of available classes.

The student, not the technology, is the center of cross-functional learning. The student is adaptable and at the center of the learning process, and technology allows them to learn in any situation and in any place. Vavoula and Sharples (2009) describe a more than specialized social feature of the progression of individuals, the construction of unconstrained learning environments and progression through normal daily life, rather than

organizing information and implications through of connections with environments, individuals and technological devices. The global virtual space will shrink in the future through flexible learning. Students around the world can connect through flexible technology to create and share knowledge. The adaptive media streaming system allows students to see where they are, allowing students from different parts of the world to learn about their surroundings. According to Botha, Vosloo, Kuner, and van der Berg (2009), an international learning assessment was conducted in 2009 involving stuntmen from different civilizations using wearable technology. In their research, they found that the technique was related to creating and sharing, and the organization allowed students to build relationships and contextualize their lives to encourage shared points of view. The cycle used to create and spread knowledge between civilizations has resulted in better intercultural skills and the ability to communicate between different societies.

As we recently explained, the local area seems to be at an inflection point right now, one that will be fruitful if shaped by the local community of researchers, experts, and activists, and if we continue to address the challenges of scale. , support, appreciate, mix and match in the future. That may seem a bit exaggerated. Traditionally, the group of people has worked primarily in institutional settings, often at the forefront of online learning, getting bogged down in how advancement and development is discussed in the traditional sense usually doesn't happen, and works from the top down. Until now, the circle of people has primarily focused on fan-driven, time-limited, and time-limited initiatives that go beyond the origins, institutions, aspirations, and frontiers of online learning, pushing their own boundaries. In the process. These improvements came at a time "when technology was scarce, difficult, and expensive; today the technology is widespread, cheap and reliable". Everyone currently has a versatile technological endowment that they have chosen for themselves; they may have (limited) assumptions and thoughts about the educational value and application of such devices and the role of such devices in the information economy; however, they misunderstand the mission of e-learning. In the next 10 years we will not find a continuation of the trend of the last 10 years and we may not find anything influenced or educated by the last 10 years.

Integration of mobile devices in the classroom

Portable learning conversations require the integration of learning content and the management

of the staff involved in transporting learning. Various education administrations are required to effectively organize portable learning as shown below:

Educational planning, training and skills assessment are part of the job. Assist with educational content, including editing, content determination, and delivery of such content. Identify, design and/or host essential e-learning/m-learning needs. Implement multiple deployment modes such as main room, e-learning, and mobile learning, using a mixed-model approach. Training and management administrations are discussed, including reappropriation and outsourcing. The importance of mobile learning should not be underestimated when implementing a blended approach that includes both online learning and traditional office learning.

OBJECTIVE

1. Mobile learning can help education
2. Exploring the challenges and barriers of mobile learning

Benefits of m-learning devices

M-learning is defined as follows: Continuous: Learning is independent of the situation. Useful: if the materials, the didactic strategy and the didactic means are updated and useful. Flexible: where instruction is tailored to the specific needs of each student. Mobile devices may continue to be useful in education for a long time, in the following ways: Devices can be used when needed or when there is free time. modular substance. Remote access is available. Automated transportation. Comfort and performance are important. Information on request is available. Individual and fast response.

Creative, collaborative, critical and communicative commitment of the students

Although student engagement in innovative, collaborative, foundational, and open learning activities has been demonstrated through the merging of meaningful writing, a wide range of approaches have been discovered to use computational and versatile technologies to support these learning practices. Real world Researchers According to Loveless, the benefits of sophisticated technology are harnessed in loops such as thought creation, association formation, doing and redoing, collaboration, matching, and evaluation.

Recent research has shown that portable and remote technologies offer significant benefits and resources that are carefully considered when developing and maintaining innovative, collaborative, enabling, and informative boundaries in learning environments. Some vendors point to the limitations of flexible learning to develop collaborative organizations and learning communities. As Zurita and Nussbaum show, mobile technologies mitigate the deficiencies of coordination, correspondence, organization, sharing, intelligence, and portability that occur in collaborative learning that is not supported by technology (2014). The authors also believe that the value of wearable technology lies in its ability to provide a shared space for conversation, noting that "persuasive learning occurs when people can talk to each other, explore and share their representations of the world."

The challenges and obstacles of mobile learning

Due to the proximity of the proliferation of mobile phones, their increasing utility and the decrease in the real cost of mobile phones, these educational offerings are becoming more accessible and affordable in all parts of the world and in all classrooms, friendships and meetings. . . However, different civilizations will respond in surprising ways to a variety of factors such as: B. the obvious wildness of flexible game-based learning or the intensely personal nature of personalized portable learning. Although wearable technology appears to be infinitely adaptable, "the dominant perspectives in the mobile learning environment will not really correspond to the formal or informal ideas about learning". in all cultures of the world, and the global environment is neither stable nor homogeneous across the globe. Despite the fact that wearable technology seems endlessly adaptable.

As mentioned earlier, the advancement of pilot- and project-based, multifaceted learning has often been constrained by academic imperatives, machine development, funding opportunities, and the apparent shortcomings of conventional online learning and the apparent shortcomings of ODL. Open and distance learning)., until recently. Furthermore, this development was implemented through relatively brief pedagogical discussions (see Kukulska-Hulme and Traxler 2007 for a review of an example of such turns). Meanwhile, there are also a variety of problems to be solved. These often sit on the fringes of the local research area, far from the broader concerns of technology and education. As mentioned, these challenges include the following:

Scaling and oversimplification, or at least promoting an understanding of how to safely scale

explicit pilots, commits, and preliminary results, how to most efficiently ship targets and run tests in the most appropriate way, how results depend on factors explicit neighborhood and possibly intangible or narrow factors; see how to digest or summarize information (see Lee and Baskerville 2003). This is supported by testing adaptability and relevance, or at least requiring an understanding of how companies, pilots, and upstream companies can confidently leverage entities, mechanisms, or principles elsewhere.

"Sustainability, or perhaps a business case or just a vacation methodology, encourages a better understanding of portable learning projects in terms of their ability to generate income or cover their expenses, as well as their impact on human, economic and social capital". social Resources in relation to their different costs. Manageability is certainly a difficult and crucial issue; In countries where the government invests heavily in education, it is subject to the limits of the task's ability to influence policy. The viability of mediation depends on an intriguing interplay between business sectors, whether developed or emerging, and social enterprise visionaries and social enterprises in different countries, including those with little or no government, or even one with a government Appalling To study appropriate practices, the Meraka Institute in South Africa uses living laboratories such as the one in Sekhukhune and other similar facilities. In many parts of the developing world, however, the need for public education is clear, with an emphasis on skills, the vital training of educators, the Millennium Development Goals and little else. In other words, public education is a fact. Developing solutions for so-called compatible and responsive portable learning ecosystems, spanning private, public and neighbourhood participants, is becoming an increasingly urgent requirement. Installation, or at least alignment, with other institutionally enhanced learning systems and with institutional and organizational cycles, such as those of schools, colleges and universities, is essential. As funders, researchers, and designers spread out and focused on projects rather than institutional climates or host systems, this proved problematic. It can also be problematic due to cultural and psychological differences between tastemakers, particularly those who are untouchables, and the foundation's supervisory and administrative staff.

Shaping mobile learning for the future

In this rapidly changing environment, multiple partners must work together to develop new educational models that appeal to students of different ages and use portable technologies that do not yet exist. Educators must rethink how they think about education and transition from age-specific teaching to a deeper and more enduring understanding (Brown, 2005). The existing educational paradigm is outdated because it was developed before the development of information and communication technologies. Considering eye-to-eye transmission in the classroom, the existing paradigm is designed to educate a specific segment of the population. However, that is changing. Also, teachers are being trained for the existing educational model and therefore will continue to use the model even after they have started their careers as educators. Training of trainers needs to be reviewed to better prepare trainers for the technologically enhanced educational environment. Education must consider the method of planning and provision of educational resources, as well as the needs and characteristics of present and future generations of students. For example, in the case of technologically enhanced transport, what is the optimal length of a course and what kind of support is required? Today's generation of students rely on technology like cell phones "all the time" in situations where they want information and feedback "now" instead of "later." Students prefer mobile phones to PCs due to the flexibility of using mobile phones in learning, although it will be some time before mobile phones are widely used in education (Stockwell, 2010).

Students of different ages can benefit from portable learning as it offers a great opportunity to use dynamic learning methods while learning in their own unique environment, resulting in a higher level of learning. Portable learning allows teaching methods to be tailored to the needs of different age groups of students (Cochrane, 2013; Stoerger, 2013). Using wearable technology, a group of students can access electronic archival material or create their own content, approve content, and support one another wherever they are. Information created by students can be used by a variety of other students (Traxler, 2009). As a result, students benefit from mobile learning as they can use mobile phones to study in their own learning environment, allowing them to engage in a variety of activities such as planned learning, authentic learning, mindfulness, unplanned learning and multifaceted augmented reality. learning. and personalized learning (Quinn, 2013; Traxler, 2010). Learning will gradually expand out of the classroom and into the student's real and virtual environment, after which it will be more organized, personalized, collaborative, and deeply embedded in students' lives (Naismith et al., 2006). Compared to face-to-face interactions, flexible technology allows students from different social backgrounds

to get to know each other much faster (Wang et al., 2009). Similarly, students can use technology to create communities of learners where they can easily advise and support each other in the learning process, which no doubt leads to higher levels of success.

As mentioned above, portable learning is at a crossroads and a significant number of variables that make up its rapidly changing environment have been effectively identified and characterized. The vast majority of people are believed to live in social systems where attachment and movement are the norm or standard and where the mobile phone is ubiquitous and unavoidable.

Mobile phone technology is no longer something added to our daily interactions; on the contrary, it has become an integral part of our daily interactions. Ten years ago it would have made sense to explore the value and benefits of portable technology in the home office. This is no longer the case today ("especially when compared to the specialized spread of the personal computer). Given the impact of these technologies on economic practices, social practices, political practices, cultural practices, even austere practices, it is necessary to resist any attempt to limit their impact on educational practices". In other words, the typical question of whether wearable technology is useful in any way, including for education, is now considered an inappropriate question. If education has any relevance to transforming the economy or the epistemology of society, you must ask how education responds to the rest of the world of mobility and association in which it operates. As mentioned above, wearable technology is the global environment and education must adapt to reflect this unique reality.

Learning cycles must reflect this transition and education must do the same. According to some, in some higher education organizations in some countries, e-learning is simply the industrialization of learning, the intelligent result of mass education, acquisition, privatization and globalization of higher education; The evidence shows that the result of earning a lot of money for education is (to use a more Marxist term) the need for robotization and industrialization, the introduction of machines in learning, to get out and survive (Traxler 2010b). From this perspective, portable learning may simply be a way of achieving greater student benefit and satisfaction as part of the transition from initial large-scale production to a more responsive post-Fordist style. Maybe it's a bit of a gloomy view of the world.

A more positive, though fundamentally flawed, review relies on student devices (Traxler 2015c),

sometimes referred to as BYOD, or bring your own device, to use the inevitably popular word. "This phrase refers to devices that are owned and used by students, not schools, colleges and universities, to support an economically viable and". Organizationally broad vision of sustainable learning in proper education, and not those owned and used by students. Schools, institutes and universities . While the introduction of a student device system appears financially beneficial and frees organizations from the responsibility of providing equipment to students, it brings with it a variety of specialized and strategic challenges, such as: B. network infrastructure, security of the quality and development of staff. Even if students see devices as part of a technology reclaimed by students that encompasses social organizations, living worlds, and blog content, the biggest challenges revolve around educators' often very reasonable judgments about the place of control within the main classroom and around the world. Foundation of the departure of teachers. This is another element of our claim that technology in education is no longer a hierarchical advancement, but a mark of respectability for those who came before it.

Personal thoughts

Much of this work has been kept separate from the details and complexities of the last 10 years of flexible learning in order to look at broader trends and more fundamental difficulties. While portable learning is certainly learning in the broadest sense, it is not always easy, benign, or comparable, and the breadth and universality of flexible technologies can both obscure and underscore these cases, depending on their use. Initial ownership of local frontier research thoughts and beliefs about portable learning may have passed into the hands of a more dispersed, confused, and divided group of collaborators. The next ten years will be very different from the first ten years.

CONCLUSION

So, in conclusion, we can return to the two questions in this article, in particular, the experiences already mentioned and the enhancement of flexible learning and the opportunities that portable learning offers for both teachers and students. This is true both within the narrow confines of the (several) portable learning academic and research communities and outside of them, as we have shown, where there are genuinely direct stories and others that follow the trajectories

of other educational pathways in many faculties and technologies. , and will probably "continue to do so. We have tried to place them in a larger context of social change and social distribution, where opportunities are extremely limited and uncertain, and where the education system as a whole, and not just trained e-learning professionals or multifaceted learning researchers it must engage learning knowledge and education that have divisive and rapidly changing implications in a world and future where transferability and partnership are the defining characteristics".

REFERENCES

[1] OnlineAlexander, B. (2006). Web 2.0: a new wave of innovation for teaching and learning?

[2] EDUCAUSE Review, 41(2), 32-44. Retrieved June 20, 2006 from http://www.educause.edu/apps/er/erm06/erm06 21.asp?bhcp=1

[3] Mittal, P., & Raghuvaran, S. (2021). Entrepreneurship education and employability skills: the mediating role of e-learning courses. Entrepreneurship Education, 4(2), 153–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41959-021-00048-6

[4] Sharma, J. G., Singh, S. P., Mittal, P., & Chakrabarti, R. (2016). Impact of Temperature Gradient on the Indian Major Carp Catla catla Larvae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences India Section B - Biological Sciences, 86(2), 269–273. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40011-014-0419-3

[5] Gupta, P. K., & Mittal, P. (2020). Corporate Governance and Risk Bundling: Evidence from Indian Companies. European Journal of Business Science and Technology, 6(1), 37–52. https://doi.org/10.11118/ejobsat.2020.004

[6] Sharma, S., & Mittal, P. (2016). Quantifying the Bullwhip in Multi-location Supply Chain Network: The Impact of Demand Forecasting. BULMIM Journal of Management and Research, 1(1), 55. https://doi.org/10.5958/2455-3298.2016.00006.4

[7] Attewell, J. (2005). From research and development to mobile learning: tools for education and training providers and their learners.

[8] mLearn 2005 Proceedings. Retrieved December 20, 2005 from http://www.mlearn.org.za/CD/papers/Attewell.pdf

[9] Mittal, P., Kaur, A., & Gupta, P. (2021). The mediating role of big data to influence practitioners to use forensic accounting for fraud detection. European Journal of Business Science and Technology, 7(1), 47–58.

[10] Barker, A, Krull, G, & Mallinson, B (2005). A proposed theoretical model for the introduction of m-learning in developing countries. mLearn 2005 Proceedings. Retrieved December 20, 2005 from http://www.mlearn.org.za/CD/papers/Barker.pdf

[11] Verma, C. P., Bansal, R., & Mittal, P. (2020). Control of COVID-19: A Counter Factual Analysis. Administrative Development, Journal of HIPA, Shimla, 7(1), 1–24.

[12] Yadav, S., Chakraborty, P., & Mittal, P. (2021). User Interface of a Drawing App for Children: Design and Effectiveness. In Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing (Vol. 1165, pp. 53–61). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-5113-0_4

[13] Mittal, Prabhat and Mohan, M. (2013). Fighting Cyber Crimes in India - Achievements and Challenges. Administrative Development, Journal of HIPA, Shimla, 1(2), 28–35.

[14] Sharma, J. G., Mittal, P., & Chakrabarti, R. (2008). Development of survivorship model for UV-B irradiated Catla catla larvae. Aquatic Ecology, 42(1), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-006-9069-8

[15] Chakrabarti, R., Mansingh Rathore, R., Mittal, P., & Kumar, S. (2006). Functional changes in digestive enzymes and characterization of proteases of silver carp ($\male$) and bighead carp ($\female$) hybrid, during early ontogeny. Aquaculture, 253(1–4), 694–702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.08.018

[16] Bates, A. W. & Poole, G. (2003). Effective Teaching Technology in Higher Education: The Foundations for Success. San Francisco: Josey Bass.

[17] Mittal, P. (2020). A multi-criterion decision analysis based on PCA for analyzing the digital technology skills in the effectiveness of government services. In 2020 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Application, DASA 2020 (pp. 490–494). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/DASA51403.2020.9317241

[18] Yadav, S., Chakraborty, P., & Mittal, P. (2021). Designing Drawing Apps for Children: Artistic and Technological Factors. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2021.1926113

[19] Beale, R & Jones, M (2004). Integrate situated interaction with mobile awareness.

[20] mLearn 2004 Proceedings: Mobile Learning Anytime, Anywhere (pp. 25-26). London: Agency for Learning and Skills Development.

[21] Mittal, P. (2020). Big data and analytics: a data management perspective in public administration. International Journal of Big Data Management, 1(2), 152. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbdm.2020.112415

[22] Boud, D. & Prosser, M. (2002). Assessing New Learning Technologies: A Framework for Development. International educational media, 39 (3/4)

[23] Mittal, P. (2020). Impact of Digital Capabilities and Technology Skills on Effectiveness of

Government in Public Services. In 2020 International Conference on Data Analytics for Business and Industry: Way Towards a Sustainable Economy, ICDABI 2020 (pp. 1–5). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDABI51230.2020.9325647

[24] Dearnley CJ, Haigh J, Fairhall J (2008) Using mobile technologies for practice-based assessment and learning: a case study. Nursing training in practice:

[25] Elsevier Dewson S, Eccles J, Tackey ND, Jackson A (2002) Measurement of surrogate outcomes and distance traveled: a review of current practice. Institute of Ergonomics for the DfEE

[26] Draper SW, Brown MI (2004) Increasing course interactivity with an electronic voting system. Computer Assistive Learning J 20(2): 81-94